






































































Deliverable (Title) D1.5 Focus Group Analysis Date 30/09/2020							
WP1 Skills needs identification						ISEKI	
Author(s) L	uis Mayor, Line Lind						
Quality assurar	nce Reviewer	Partner	UNITO				
	e comply with the d	Comments	Comments/Remarks ¹				
66		00				X	
The Deliverabl	e comply with all Ta	sk requiren	nents	Comments	Comments/Remarks		
]				X	
56		00					
The information	on addressed the ke	y issues	'	Comments	Comments/Remarks		
				Х			
56		90					
The information	Comments/Remarks						
]		Х			
56		90					

¹ In case of NOT ENOUGH & POOR grades the reporting of comments/remarks is mandatory, otherwise the assessment will be invalidated. Reviewer comments must be accurate, comprehensive and fully articulated.

² In case of Technological Output, the Reviewer shall consider if the Deliverable description is comprehensive and coherent with the Technological Output.














































































Deliverable (Title) D1.5 Focus Group Analysis Date 30/09/2020							
WP1 Skills r	needs identification	WP Leader		ISEKI			
Author(s) Luis Mayor, Line Lindner, Christoph Knöbl (ISEKI)							
Quality assurar	nce Reviewer	Partner	ICOS				
The Deliverabl	e comply with the o	Comments	Comments/Remarks ¹				
66		000				X	
The Deliverabl	e comply with all Ta	sk requiren	nents	Comments	Comments/Remarks		
						X	
		0					
The information	on addressed the ke	y issues		Comments	Comments/Remarks		
						Х	
		90					
The information	Comments	Comments/Remarks					
						Х	
56		00					

¹ In case of NOT ENOUGH & POOR grades the reporting of comments/remarks is mandatory, otherwise the assessment will be invalidated. Reviewer comments must be accurate, comprehensive and fully articulated.

² In case of Technological Output, the Reviewer shall consider if the Deliverable description is comprehensive and coherent with the Technological Output.












































































Deliverable (Title) DX.X Date dd/mm/yyyy							
WP1 Deliverable 1	5 'Focus group ana	Task Leader - IFA	06.01.2021				
Author(s) Luis Ma	yor, Line Lindner, Ch						
Quality assurance Rev	viewer Gem	Partner ACTIA	4				
The Deliverable completerm	oly with the descript	Comments/Rema	Comments/Remarks ¹				
			X				
The Deliverable com	oly with all Task req	uirements	Comments/Rema	Comments/Remarks			
			x				
66	000	lolo					
The information add	ressed the key issue	s	Comments/Rema	rks			
				x			
56	000						
The information prov	vided in the Delivera	Comments/Rema	rks				
				х			
56	000						

¹ In case of NOT ENOUGH & POOR grades the reporting of comments/remarks is mandatory, otherwise the assessment will be invalidated. Reviewer comments must be accurate, comprehensive and fully articulated.

² In case of Technological Output, the Reviewer shall consider if the Deliverable description is comprehensive and coherent with the Technological Output.











2 The grading system

The grading system included in the Evaluation Grid template (Annex I) provides a quick overview of the main conclusions at the level of each assessment question. A five-grade scale is adopted using the following categories (Table 1):

Table 1. Grading reference table.

Score	Grading reference table for assessing and monitoring questions
56	I disagree = 1: There are deficiencies which are serious. If not addressed, they may lead to failure of the Deliverable. Major adjustments and revision of the intervention logic and/or implementation arrangements are necessary.
900	I slightly disagree = 2: There are issues which need to be addressed. Necessary improvements do not, however, require a major revision of the intervention logic and implementation arrangements.
lo lo	Neutral = 3 : The situation is considered satisfactory, but there may be room for improvement. Recommendations are useful, but not vital to the project or programme.
00	I agree = 4: All issues within the scope of the project have been fairly addressed. Authors have answered any requirement stated in the Task description, reaching the intended objectives. There is no request for further improvements gathered in the evaluation process.
	I completely agree = 5: The Deliverable quality goes beyond the expected quality standards reported in the Quality assurance procedure, leading to an outstanding standard. Authors did not confine the activity implementation to the proposal description, but they have contingently approached the task by considering any additional information arising during the project development. The delivery deadline has been met.








































































Deliverable D1.5 - Focus Group Analysis Date 07/01/2021							
WP 1 Task 1.3: Co	ountry and EU focus gr	Task Leader	ISEKI				
Author(s) Luis Ma	ayor, Line Lindner, Christ						
Quality assurance Re	viewer Marg Lei	Partner	AERES				
The Deliverable com	ply with the description	Comments/	Comments/Remarks ¹				
				V			
The Deliverable com	ply with all Task require	ements	Comments/	Remarks			
66				V			
The information add	ressed the key issues		Comments/	Remarks			
				V			
The information pro	vided in the Deliverable	Comments/	Comments/Remarks				
				V			

¹ In case of NOT ENOUGH & POOR grades the reporting of comments/remarks is mandatory, otherwise the assessment will be invalidated. Reviewer comments must be accurate, comprehensive and fully articulated.

² In case of Technological Output, the Reviewer shall consider if the Deliverable description is comprehensive and coherent with the Technological Output.














































































Deliverable (Tit	Deliverable (Title) D1.5 Focus Group Analysis Date 30/09/2020						
WP1 Skills n	eeds identification	WP Leader	ISEKI				
Author(s) Luis Mayor, Line Lindner, Christoph Knöbl (ISEKI)							
Quality assuran	ce Reviewer	Partner	Confagricoltura				
The Deliverable form	e comply with the d	Comments	Comments/Remarks ¹				
				X			
The Deliverable	e comply with all Ta	ısk requirer	nents	Comments	/Remarks		
]		X			
		90	88				
The informatio	n addressed the ke	y issues		Comments	Comments/Remarks		
]		Х			
66		90					
The informatio	n provided in the D	Comments	Comments/Remarks				
]			Х		
??	90	90	000				

¹ In case of NOT ENOUGH & POOR grades the reporting of comments/remarks is mandatory, otherwise the assessment will be invalidated. Reviewer comments must be accurate, comprehensive and fully articulated.

² In case of Technological Output, the Reviewer shall consider if the Deliverable description is comprehensive and coherent with the Technological Output.













































































Deliverable (Ti	Deliverable (Title) D1.5 Focus Group Analysis Date 30/09/2020							
WP1 Skills r	needs identification	WP Leader		ISEKI				
Author(s) Luis Mayor, Line Lindner, Christoph Knöbl (ISEKI)								
Quality assurar	nce Reviewer	Partner	ISEKI					
The Deliverabl	e comply with the c	Comments	Comments/Remarks ¹					
66		90				X		
The Deliverabl	e comply with all Ta	ask requirer	nents	Comments	Comments/Remarks			
]				Χ		
36		90	000					
The information	on addressed the ke	y issues		Comments	Comments/Remarks			
]				Χ		
		90	000	00				
The information	Comments	Comments/Remarks						
]		Х				
56		00	lo lo					

¹ In case of NOT ENOUGH & POOR grades the reporting of comments/remarks is mandatory, otherwise the assessment will be invalidated. Reviewer comments must be accurate, comprehensive and fully articulated.

² In case of Technological Output, the Reviewer shall consider if the Deliverable description is comprehensive and coherent with the Technological Output.





The Deliverable prese	nted is using the proje	ct's format	ject's format Comments/Remarks	
66				X
The Deliverable is wri	tten in good English		Comments/Rema	irks
66			X	
The Deliverable has be	een released by its due	ue date Comments/Remarks		arks
			X	
Overall assess	Suggestion	ons for improvements:		
			X	
Date of Quality Assura	nce review		30/12/2020	
Signature: Z:Co3				