

Addressing the current and Future skill needs for sustainability, digitalization and the bio-Economy in agriculture: European skills agenda and Strategy

D6.2 - ANNEX I

Deliverable Assessment Grid



1 ANNEX I: Deliverable Assessment Grid

Deliverable		D2.1 – Detailed baseline of occupational profiles		Date	11/11/2021
WP 2	Task 2.1: Analysis of skill gaps and new profiles creation			Task Leader	AC3A
Author(s)	Alexandre Morin (AC3A), Francesca Sanna (UNITO), Camilla Tomao (CONFAGRI)				
Quality assurance Reviewer	Jan Gundelach, Marg Leijdens			Partner	AERES
The Deliverable comply with the description stated in the application form				Comments/Remarks ¹	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	V		
The Deliverable comply with all Task requirements				Comments/Remarks	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		V
The information addressed the key issues				Comments/Remarks	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		V
The information provided in the Deliverable are reliable²				Comments/Remarks	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	V	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>

¹ In case of NOT ENOUGH & POOR grades the reporting of comments/remarks is mandatory, otherwise the assessment will be invalidated. Reviewer comments must be accurate, comprehensive and fully articulated.

² In case of Technological Output, the Reviewer shall consider if the Deliverable description is comprehensive and coherent with the Technological Output.

The Deliverable presented is using the project's format				Comments/Remarks	
<input type="checkbox"/> 	<input type="checkbox"/> 	<input type="checkbox"/> 	<input type="checkbox"/> 	V 	
The Deliverable is written in good English				Comments/Remarks	
<input type="checkbox"/> 	<input type="checkbox"/> 	<input type="checkbox"/> 	V 	<input type="checkbox"/> 	
The Deliverable has been released by its due date				Comments/Remarks	
<input type="checkbox"/> 	<input type="checkbox"/> 	V 	<input type="checkbox"/> 	<input type="checkbox"/> 	
Overall assessment		Suggestions for improvements:			
<input type="checkbox"/> 	<input type="checkbox"/> 	<input type="checkbox"/> 	V 	<input type="checkbox"/> 	
Date of Quality Assurance review		07/01/2021			
Signature:					

Addressing the current and Future skill needs for sustainability, digitalization and the bio-Economy in agriculture: European skills agenda and Strategy

D6.2 - ANNEX I

Deliverable Assessment Grid



1 ANNEX I: Deliverable Assessment Grid

Deliverable (Public platform and map)		D2.1	Date	11/11/2021	
WP	Priorities and strategy design		Task Leader	AC3A	
Author(s)	Dionysios Bochtis				
Quality assurance Reviewer	Efthymios Rodias		Partner	CERTH	
The Deliverable comply with the description stated in the application form			Comments/Remarks ¹		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
The Deliverable comply with all Task requirements			Comments/Remarks		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
The information addressed the key issues			Comments/Remarks		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
The information provided in the Deliverable are reliable²			Comments/Remarks		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

¹ In case of NOT ENOUGH & POOR grades the reporting of comments/remarks is mandatory, otherwise the assessment will be invalidated. Reviewer comments must be accurate, comprehensive and fully articulated.






² In case of Technological Output, the Reviewer shall consider if the Deliverable description is comprehensive and coherent with the Technological Output.

The Deliverable presented is using the project's format				Comments/Remarks	
<input type="checkbox"/> 	<input type="checkbox"/> 	<input type="checkbox"/> 	<input type="checkbox"/> 	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 	
The Deliverable is written in good English				Comments/Remarks	
<input type="checkbox"/> 	<input type="checkbox"/> 	<input type="checkbox"/> 	<input type="checkbox"/> 	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 	
The Deliverable has been released by its due date				Comments/Remarks	
<input type="checkbox"/> 	<input type="checkbox"/> 	<input type="checkbox"/> 	<input type="checkbox"/> 	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 	
Overall assessment		Suggestions for improvements:			
<input type="checkbox"/> 	<input type="checkbox"/> 	<input type="checkbox"/> 	<input type="checkbox"/> 	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 	
Date of Quality Assurance review		11/11/2021			
Signature:					

2 The grading system

The grading system included in the Evaluation Grid template (Annex I) provides a quick overview of the main conclusions at the level of each assessment question. A five-grade scale is adopted using the following categories (Table 1):

Table 1. Grading reference table.

Score	Grading reference table for assessing and monitoring questions
	I disagree = 1: There are deficiencies which are serious. If not addressed, they may lead to failure of the Deliverable. Major adjustments and revision of the intervention logic and/or implementation arrangements are necessary.
	I slightly disagree = 2: There are issues which need to be addressed. Necessary improvements do not, however, require a major revision of the intervention logic and implementation arrangements.
	Neutral = 3: The situation is considered satisfactory, but there may be room for improvement. Recommendations are useful, but not vital to the project or programme.
	I agree = 4: All issues within the scope of the project have been fairly addressed. Authors have answered any requirement stated in the Task description, reaching the intended objectives. There is no request for further improvements gathered in the evaluation process.
	I completely agree = 5: The Deliverable quality goes beyond the expected quality standards reported in the Quality assurance procedure, leading to an outstanding standard. Authors did not confine the activity implementation to the proposal description, but they have contingently approached the task by considering any additional information arising during the project development. The delivery deadline has been met.

Addressing the current and Future skill needs for sustainability, digitalization and the bio-Economy in agriculture: European skills agenda and Strategy

D6.2 - ANNEX I

Deliverable Assessment Grid



1 ANNEX I: Deliverable Assessment Grid

Deliverable (Title)		D2.1 Detailed baseline of occupational profiles			Date	31/03/2021
WP	2 – Priorities and strategy design			Task Leader	CONFAGRI	
Author(s)	Alexandre Morin (AC3A), Francesca Sanna (UNITO), Camilla Tomao (CONFAGRI)					
Quality assurance Reviewer	Remigio Berruto			Partner	UNITO	
The Deliverable comply with the description stated in the application form				Comments/Remarks ¹		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	X	
The Deliverable comply with all Task requirements				Comments/Remarks		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	X	
The information addressed the key issues				Comments/Remarks		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	X	
The information provided in the Deliverable are reliable²				Comments/Remarks		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	X	<input type="checkbox"/>	

¹ In case of NOT ENOUGH & POOR grades the reporting of comments/remarks is mandatory, otherwise the assessment will be invalidated. Reviewer comments must be accurate, comprehensive and fully articulated.

² In case of Technological Output, the Reviewer shall consider if the Deliverable description is comprehensive and coherent with the Technological Output.

The Deliverable presented is using the project's format				Comments/Remarks	
<input type="checkbox"/> 	<input type="checkbox"/> 	<input type="checkbox"/> 	<input type="checkbox"/> 	X 	
The Deliverable is written in good English				Comments/Remarks	
<input type="checkbox"/> 	<input type="checkbox"/> 	<input type="checkbox"/> 	<input type="checkbox"/> 	X 	
The Deliverable has been released by its due date				Comments/Remarks 6 months delay	
<input type="checkbox"/> 	X 	<input type="checkbox"/> 	<input type="checkbox"/> 	<input type="checkbox"/> 	
Overall assessment		Suggestions for improvements:			
<input type="checkbox"/> 	<input type="checkbox"/> 	<input type="checkbox"/> 	X 	<input type="checkbox"/> 	
Date of Quality Assurance review		10/11/2021			
Signature: <i>Remigio Berruto</i>					

Addressing the current and Future skill needs for sustainability, digitalization and the bio-Economy in agriculture: European skills agenda and Strategy

D6.2 - ANNEX I

Deliverable Assessment Grid



1 ANNEX I: Deliverable Assessment Grid

Deliverable (Title)		D2.1 detailed baseline of occupational profiles			Date	04/11/2021
WP	2				Task Leader	AC3A
Author(s)		Alexandre Morin (AC3A), Francesca Sanna (UNITO), Camilla Tomao (CONFAGRI)				
Quality assurance Reviewer			Luis Mayor		Partner	ISEKI
The Deliverable comply with the description stated in the application form					Comments/Remarks ¹	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	X	
The Deliverable comply with all Task requirements					Comments/Remarks	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	X	
The information addressed the key issues					Comments/Remarks	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	X	
The information provided in the Deliverable are reliable²					Comments/Remarks	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	X	

¹ In case of NOT ENOUGH & POOR grades the reporting of comments/remarks is mandatory, otherwise the assessment will be invalidated. Reviewer comments must be accurate, comprehensive and fully articulated.

² In case of Technological Output, the Reviewer shall consider if the Deliverable description is comprehensive and coherent with the Technological Output.

The Deliverable presented is using the project's format			Comments/Remarks	
<input type="checkbox"/> 	<input type="checkbox"/> 	<input type="checkbox"/> 	X 	<input type="checkbox"/>
The Deliverable is written in good English			Comments/Remarks	
<input type="checkbox"/> 	<input type="checkbox"/> 	<input type="checkbox"/> 	<input type="checkbox"/> 	X
The Deliverable has been released by its due date			Comments/Remarks	
<input type="checkbox"/> 	<input type="checkbox"/> 	X 	<input type="checkbox"/> 	<input type="checkbox"/>
Overall assessment		Suggestions for improvements:		
<input type="checkbox"/> 	<input type="checkbox"/> 	<input type="checkbox"/> 	X 	<input type="checkbox"/>
Date of Quality Assurance review		09/11/2021		
Signature:				