



### Addressing the current and Future skill needs for sustainability, digitalization and the bio-Economy in agricuLture: European skills agenDa and Strategy

### D6.2 - ANNEX I Deliverable Assessment Grid




































































#### 1 ANNEX I: Deliverable Assessment Grid

Delive	rable	D4.3 Pul	olic platfor	m and map	Da	ate		25/01/2021
WP4	4 Implementation				Ta	Task Leader		AERES
Author(s) Francesca Sanna (UNITO)								
Quality	assurance Revi	iewer	Dionysic	os Bochtis	Pa	Partner CERTH		
The Deliverable comply with the description stated in the application form						Comments/Remarks <sup>1</sup>		
								×
		Vo C	3					
The Deliverable comply with all Task requirements					Co	Comments/Remarks		
								X
	56	000	3	66				
The information addressed the key issues					Co	omments	/Remarks	;
The information provided in the Deliverable are reliable <sup>2</sup>					m	Comments/Remarks: References are missing in several sentences in the text.		
						×		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In case of NOT ENOUGH & POOR grades the reporting of comments/remarks is mandatory, otherwise the assessment will be invalidated. Reviewer comments must be accurate, comprehensive and fully articulated.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> In case of Technological Output, the Reviewer shall consider if the Deliverable description is comprehensive and coherent with the Technological Output.









#### Deliverable Assessment Grid


Deliverable (	Title)	D4.3 Online public pla	tform and man	Date		25/01/2021		
	WP4 Implementation				r	UNITO		
			Task Leade	I	UNITO			
Author(s)	Francesc	a Sanna (UNITO)						
Quality assurance Reviewer Luis Mayor			or	Partner	ISEKI			
The Deliverable comply with the description stated in the application form					/Remarks <sup>1</sup>			
						X		
		000		000				
The Delivera	The Deliverable comply with all Task requirements					Comments/Remarks		
						X		
		000		000				
The informat	essed the key issues	Comments	/Remarks					
						X		
		000		00				
The information provided in the Deliverable are reliable <sup>2</sup>				Comments	/Remarks			
						Х		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In case of NOT ENOUGH & POOR grades the reporting of comments/remarks is mandatory, otherwise the assessment will be invalidated. Reviewer comments must be accurate, comprehensive and fully articulated.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> In case of Technological Output, the Reviewer shall consider if the Deliverable description is comprehensive and coherent with the Technological Output.











# Addressing the current and Future skill needs for sustainability, digitalization and the bio-Economy in agricuLture: European skills agenDa and Strategy

## D4.3 - ANNEX I Deliverable Assessment Grid



































































#### 1 ANNEX I: Deliverable Assessment Grid


Online Public Platform & Map	D4.3			Date	08/02/2021
WP 6				Task Leader	UNITO
Author(s)					
Quality assurance Rev	iewer	Billy Good	burn	Partner ICO	S
The Deliverable comp	ly with the o	verall objec	tives of the project	Comments/Ren	narks <sup>1</sup>
		00			X
The Deliverable comp	ly with all Ta	sk requirem	nents	Comments/Ren	narks
					X
The information addr	essed the ke	Comments/Ren	narks		
					X
The information provi	ided in the D	Comments/Ren	narks		
	80	90			X

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In case of NOT ENOUGH & POOR grades the reporting of comments/remarks is mandatory, otherwise the assessment will be invalidated. Reviewer comments must be accurate, comprehensive and fully articulated.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> In case of Technological Output, the Reviewer shall consider if the Deliverable description is comprehensive and coherent with the Technological Output.











#### 2 The grading system

The grading system included in the Evaluation Grid template (Annex I) provides a quick overview of the main conclusions at the level of each assessment question. A five-grade scale is adopted using the following categories (Table 1):

Table 1. Grading reference table.

Score	Grading reference table for assessing and monitoring questions
56	I disagree = 1: There are deficiencies which are serious. If not addressed, they may lead to failure of the Deliverable. Major adjustments and revision of the intervention logic and/or implementation arrangements are necessary.
900	I slightly disagree = 2: There are issues which need to be addressed. Necessary improvements do not, however, require a major revision of the intervention logic and implementation arrangements.
lo lo	<b>Neutral = 3</b> : The situation is considered satisfactory, but there may be room for improvement. Recommendations are useful, but not vital to the project or programme.
	I agree = 4: All issues within the scope of the project have been fairly addressed. Authors have answered any requirement stated in the Task description, reaching the intended objectives. There is no request for further improvements gathered in the evaluation process.
	I completely agree = 5: The Deliverable quality goes beyond the expected quality standards reported in the Quality assurance procedure, leading to an outstanding standard. Authors did not confine the activity implementation to the proposal description, but they have contingently approached the task by considering any additional information arising during the project development. The delivery deadline has been met.





# Addressing the current and Future skill needs for sustainability, digitalization and the bio-Economy in agricuLture: European skills agenDa and Strategy

## D6.2 - ANNEX I Deliverable Assessment Grid



































































#### 1 ANNEX I: Deliverable Assessment Grid


Deliverable (Title)	D4.3		Date	22/02/2021		
WP 4		Task Leader	AERES			
Author(s) Francesca Sanna						
Quality assurance Rev	riewer Gemma (	Cornuau	Partner ACT	TA .		
		stated in the application	Comments/Rem	Comments/Remarks <sup>1</sup>		
			x			
The Deliverable comp	oly with all Task require	Comments/Rem	Comments/Remarks			
			X			
	000	5 5				
The information addr	essed the key issues	Comments/Rem	narks			
			Х			
	000	000	00			
The information prov	ided in the Deliverable	Comments/Rem	Comments/Remarks			
			X			
	000					

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In case of NOT ENOUGH & POOR grades the reporting of comments/remarks is mandatory, otherwise the assessment will be invalidated. Reviewer comments must be accurate, comprehensive and fully articulated.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> In case of Technological Output, the Reviewer shall consider if the Deliverable description is comprehensive and coherent with the Technological Output.













#### 2 The grading system

The grading system included in the Evaluation Grid template (Annex I) provides a quick overview of the main conclusions at the level of each assessment question. A five-grade scale is adopted using the following categories (Table 1):

Table 1. Grading reference table.

Score	Grading reference table for assessing and monitoring questions
56	I disagree = 1: There are deficiencies which are serious. If not addressed, they may lead to failure of the Deliverable. Major adjustments and revision of the intervention logic and/or implementation arrangements are necessary.
900	I slightly disagree = 2: There are issues which need to be addressed. Necessary improvements do not, however, require a major revision of the intervention logic and implementation arrangements.
lo lo	<b>Neutral = 3</b> : The situation is considered satisfactory, but there may be room for improvement. Recommendations are useful, but not vital to the project or programme.
	I agree = 4: All issues within the scope of the project have been fairly addressed. Authors have answered any requirement stated in the Task description, reaching the intended objectives. There is no request for further improvements gathered in the evaluation process.
	I completely agree = 5: The Deliverable quality goes beyond the expected quality standards reported in the Quality assurance procedure, leading to an outstanding standard. Authors did not confine the activity implementation to the proposal description, but they have contingently approached the task by considering any additional information arising during the project development. The delivery deadline has been met.