



Addressing the current and Future skill needs for sustainability, digitalization and the bio-Economy in agricuLture: European skills agenDa and Strategy

D6.2 - ANNEX I Deliverable Assessment Grid




































































1 ANNEX I: Deliverable Assessment Grid


| Deliverable | (Title) D7.1 Dis | semination Pla | 1 | Date | 30/09/2020 | |
|---|---|----------------|------------------|-------------------------------|------------|--|
| WP7 Diss | semination and Communication | | Task Leader | ACTIA | | |
| Author(s) Katharina Stollewerk, Julian Drausinger (LVA | | | | ' | | |
| | Quality assurance Reviewer Remigio Berruto | | | Partner UN | IITO | |
| | The Deliverable comply with the description stated in the application | | | Comments/Remarks ¹ | | |
| | | | | | X | |
| The Deliverable comply with all Task requirements | | | Comments/Remarks | | | |
| | | | | | X | |
| The information addressed the key issues | | | | Comments/Remarks | | |
| | | | | | X | |
| The information provided in the Deliverable are reliable ² | | | Comments/Remarks | | | |
| | | | | X | | |

 $^{^{1}}$ In case of NOT ENOUGH & POOR grades the reporting of comments/remarks is mandatory, otherwise the assessment will be invalidated. Reviewer comments must be accurate, comprehensive and fully articulated.

² In case of Technological Output, the Reviewer shall consider if the Deliverable description is comprehensive and coherent with the Technological Output.











Addressing the current and Future skill needs for sustainability, digitalization and the bio-Economy in agricuLture: European skills agenDa and Strategy

D6.2 - ANNEX I Deliverable Assessment Grid
































































1 ANNEX I: Deliverable Assessment Grid

| Deliverable 7.1 Dissemination Plan | | | | Date | | 01/09/2020 | |
|---|---|----------------------|----------|------------------|-------------------------------|------------|--|
| WP 7 Dissemination and communic | | nication | | Task Leade | er | LVA | |
| Author(s) Katharina Stollewerk, Julian Drausinger | | | | | | | |
| Quality assurance Reviewer | | Rui Costa/Luis Mayor | | Partner | ISEKI | | |
| | The Deliverable comply with the description stated in the application | | | Comments | Comments/Remarks ¹ | | |
| | | | | | | X | |
| The Deliverable comply with all Task requirements | | | Comments | Comments/Remarks | | | |
| | |] | | | | Χ | |
| 66 | 00 | 00 | 000 | | | | |
| The information | Comments | Comments/Remarks | | | | | |
| | |] | | | | Χ | |
| 56 | 00 | 00 | 000 | | | | |
| The information provided in the Deliverable are reliable ² | | | | Comments | Comments/Remarks | | |
| | |] | | | | Χ | |
| 66 | 80 | 00 | | 00 | | | |


¹ In case of NOT ENOUGH & POOR grades the reporting of comments/remarks is mandatory, otherwise the assessment will be invalidated. Reviewer comments must be accurate, comprehensive and fully articulated.

² In case of Technological Output, the Reviewer shall consider if the Deliverable description is comprehensive and coherent with the Technological Output.









Addressing the current and Future skill needs for sustainability, digitalization and the bio-Economy in agricuLture: European skills agenDa and Strategy

D6.2 - ANNEX I Deliverable Assessment Grid



































































1 ANNEX I: Deliverable Assessment Grid

| Deliverable (Title) | DX.X | | Date | dd/mm/yyyy | |
|---|---------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| WP | 7.1 | | Task Leader / Cva | 1/10/2020 | |
| Author(s) | LVA | frum Forme | 1 | | |
| Quality assurance Rev | | Partner Con | facriout van | | |
| The Deliverable comp | ply with the description | stated in the application | Comments/Remark | Comments/Remarks ¹ | |
| | | | | | |
| 66 | 000 | 00 | | | |
| The Deliverable com | ply with all Task require | ments | Comments/Remarks | | |
| | | | | | |
| 63 | 000 | | | | |
| The information add | ressed the key issues | Comments/Remarks | | | |
| - 0 | | | | | |
| 5.3 | | | | | |
| The information provided in the Deliverable are reliable ² | | | Comments/Remarks | | |
| | | | | | |
| 66 | 800 | (5 5) [] | | | |

¹ In case of NOT ENOUGH & POOR grades the reporting of comments/remarks is mandatory, otherwise the assessment will be invalidated. Reviewer comments must be accurate, comprehensive and fully articulated.

² In case of Technological Output, the Reviewer shall consider if the Deliverable description is comprehensive and coherent with the Technological Output.



| The Deliverable presented is using the project's format | | | Comments/Rem | Comments/Remarks | |
|---|--|---|------------------|------------------|--|
| | | | | | |
| 66 | 000 | () () () () () () () () () () | | | |
| The Deliverable is writt | The Deliverable is written in good English | | | Comments/Remarks | |
| | | | | | |
| | 000 | 00 | | | |
| The Deliverable has been released by its due date | | | Comments/Remarks | | |
| | | | | | |
| | 000 | | | | |
| Overall assessn | Suggestio | ns for improvements: | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | 90 | | 00 | | |
| Date of Quality Assurance review | | 01/10/2020 | | | |
| Signature: | ر لـــــ، | | | | |





2 The grading system

The grading system included in the Evaluation Grid template (Annex I) provides a quick overview of the main conclusions at the level of each assessment question. A five-grade scale is adopted using the following categories (Table 1):

Table 1. Grading reference table.

| Score | Grading reference table for assessing and monitoring questions |
|---------|---|
| 66 | I disagree = 1: There are deficiencies which are serious. If not addressed, they may lead to failure of the Deliverable. Major adjustments and revision of the intervention logic and/or implementation arrangements are necessary. |
| 90 () | I slightly disagree = 2: There are issues which need to be addressed. Necessary improvements do not, however, require a major revision of the intervention logic and implementation arrangements. |
| (I) (I) | Neutral = 3 : The situation is considered satisfactory, but there may be room for improvement. Recommendations are useful, but not vital to the project or programme. |
| 000 | I agree = 4: All issues within the scope of the project have been fairly addressed. Authors have answered any requirement stated in the Task description, reaching the intended objectives. There is no request for further improvements gathered in the evaluation process. |
| | I completely agree = 5: The Deliverable quality goes beyond the expected quality standards reported in the Quality assurance procedure, leading to an outstanding standard. Authors did not confine the activity implementation to the proposal description, but they have contingently approached the task by considering any additional information arising during the project development. The delivery deadline has been met. |